

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Codes of Markets and Franciscopy of Markets of the Codes of Markets of the Codes of Markets of Ma

JPD ICATION NO	ERING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ALIORNEY DOCKETNO	CONFIRMATION NO	
(19/773,798	02.01.2001	Douglas Duane Coolbaugh	BUR920000143US1c13×90)	×546	
75	905 25 25 25 n 3				
Richard L. Catania, Esq.			EXAMINER		
400 Garden City			FARAHANI, DANA		
Garden City, N	Y 11530		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	£.12				
	Office Action Summer	09/773.79	98	COOLBAUGH ET AL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
T. 1444 NO 0475		Dana Fara		2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Falu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY or ons of time may be available under the provisions SIX is MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit period for reply specified above it is that thirty, 30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply epily received by the Office rater than three months and patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 TO4-b.	CATION of 37 OFR not 38 all shindleve run cation 0 days laireby within the statu atutory period will apply and will will by statute loause the app	ent indwever imaviaired vice time dorvimin mum of thirty (30) days Hexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to dation to become ABANDONED	el, filed will be considered timely he making date of this communica) 35 UISIC § 133	ation				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 February 20	003 .						
2a) □		2b) This action is							
3)									
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed									
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).					
a)[All b) Some * c) None of								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
* 5	3 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internitee the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17 2(a))						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo				ation).				
	The translation of the foreign lan	• •							
Attachmen		•							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement s ((PTO-1449) Pa			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)	_				

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitter Prior Art (AAPA), previously cited, in view of Klersy et al. (U.S. 5,177,567), hereinafter Klersy, and further in view of Sedra and Smith (a book, Microelectronic circuits), previously cited.

Regarding claims 1, 9, 13, 14, 15, and 18, AAPA discloses in figure 1 a method of providing a heterojunction bipolar transistor structure comprising at least an underlying SiGe base region 22, an insulator layer 26 formed on surface portions of the underlying SiGe base region, and an emitter 28 formed on the insulator layer and in contact with the underlying SiGe base region through an emitter opening formed in the insulator layer, the emitter, the insulator layer and the SiGe base region each having exposed sidewalls; and siliciding exposed silicon surfaces of at least the emitter and the SiGe base region.

AAPA does not disclose forming a passivation layer on the exposed sidewalls of the emitter, the insulator layer and portions of the SiGe base region.

Klersy teaches at column 10, lines 30-34, that a passivation layer protects the structure in which it is used, and further prevents short-circuiting. Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2814

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form passivation layer on exposed sidewalls of the emitter, the insulator layer, and portion of the base region to protect those layers, and further isolate the emitter and the base contacts so there would be no shorts between base and emitter, so the transistor could be usable in a variety of applications, since it is well known in the art that a transistor in order to be usable in a variety of applications it should not have a shorted circuit between the base and the emitter (see Sedra and Smith, page 223, figure 4.2).

Regarding claim 13, AAPA discloses patterned insulator 26 of figure 1. However, AAPA does not disclose multiple patterned insulator layers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use multiple insulator layer since mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

3. Claims 2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Hasegawa and further in view of Sedra and Smith as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Misium et al., hereinafter Misium (U.S. Patent 6,331,492), newly cited.

AAPA in view of Hasegawa and further in view of Sedra and Smith renders obvious the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for expressly disclosing the passivation layer is formed by CVD. Misium teaches that CVD is a well known, advantageous deposition method. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use CVD at the condition the applicant

Art Unit: 2814

discloses, since it is known in the art CVD under those conditions is used to deposit layers in a semiconductor device and results in uniformity of the deposited layer.

4. Claims 3, 4, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Hasegawa and further in view of Sedra and Smith as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nguyen et al. (U.S. Patent 4,987,102), hereinafter Nguyen, newly cited.

AAPA in view of Hasegawa, and Sedra and Smith, renders obvious the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the passivation layer being made of nitride, oxide, and oxynitride, or any combination thereof. Nguyen discloses at column 5, line 30 that nitride is formed to be used in the semiconductor industry. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use these materials, specifically nitride, as the passivation layer since it is known in the art that these layers are used as passivation layer and nitride, for example, has good adhesive properties.

5. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Hasegawa, and Sedra and Smith, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Vora (U.S. Patent 4,757,027), newly cited.

AAPA in view of Hasegawa, and Sedra and Smith renders obvious the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for an intrinsic emitter. Vora discloses at column 6, lines 36-47, an intrinsic emitter is formed in a transistor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use intrinsic emitter so there would be no need for adding impurities to the emitter.

Art Unit: 2814

6. Claim 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Hasegawa, and Sedra and Smith as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Van Zeijl (U.S. Patent 6,268,779), newly cited.

AAPA in view of Hasegawa, and Sedra and Smith renders obvious the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for a SiO2 insulator.

Van Zeijl discloses at column 2, lines 52-53, that SiO2 is used as an insulating layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this material as the passivation layer of AAPA, since it is the most widely used insulator in the semiconductor IC industry.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (703)305-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703)306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Art Unit: 2814

D. Farahani May 13, 2003

